

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/836,828	04/17/2001	Daniel A. Muntz	10008127-1	9979
75	90 02/24/2004		EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			FLEURANTIN, JEAN B	
Intellectual Prop	perty Administration			
P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, Co	Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			7
•			DATE MAILED: 02/24/2004	4 /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/836,828	MUNTZ, DANIEL A.	/
Advisory Addion	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jean B Fleurantin	2172	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	ss
THE REPLY FILED 09 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to n places the applicatio	o a on in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	f extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The approposition of the fee. The appropriate of the final Off	riate extension fice action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simp	lifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed am	rendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT p	lace the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were n	ewly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			i an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: NONE.			
Claim(s) objected to: NONE.			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-15.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•
		of our	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)





Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to applicant's argument on pages 2 and 3, that "the Office Action fails to show that these limitations are identically taught by Wollrath." It is respectively submitted that the Wollrath reference discloses the laimed linvention. a) Wollrath discloses a method comprises the steps of receiving a request from a caller specifying a storage location and a lease period, determining a lease period during which the caller has access to the specified storage locations, see column 4, lines 60-67; and column 15, lines 4-11. Furthermore, Wollrath discloses while a lease is active, the client is guaranteed access to the group of storage locations and may perform read and write operations on them. And, likewise, the server, during an active lease, will maintain the storage locations' integrity. For example, during the lease period, the server will not allow the leased file to be deleted, written over, or otherwise affected by any entity other than the client. After a lease expires, however, the server no longer guarantees the integrity of the file to the client, and thus, the server may delete the file or otherwise materially chang it, or grant a lease to another client that may do the same; see column 12, lines 38-64.

b) a method and seeks to access the resource, the application makes a call to the resource or to an MI componenet managing the resource, see column 5, line 65 to column 6, line 10. Thus, the arguments are not persuasive.